

**MINUTES
EAGAR TOWN COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
22 W. 2nd St., Eagar, AZ
October 18, 2011 - 7:00 P.M.**

Mayor Kim Holaway called the meeting to order and welcomed those present. Mayor Kim Holaway led the Pledge of Allegiance and Bruce Ray offered the invocation. Mayor Holaway said to let the record show that Councilor Debbie Rogers is absent; all other council members are present.

COUNCIL PRESENT: Kim Holaway, Mayor
 James Nelson, Jr., Vice Mayor
 Steve Erhart
 Gary Finch
 Byron Smith
 Tim Rasmussen

ABSENT: Councilor Debbie Rogers

STAFF PRESENT: Bill Greenwood, Town Manager
 Doug Brown, Town Attorney
 Bruce Ray, Community Development Administrator
 Howard Carlson, Fire Department Chief
 Mike Hogan, Chief of Police
 Marion Wiltbank, Assistant Town Clerk

ITEM #5: SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

A. MAYOR

Mayor Kim Holaway attended the Apache and Navajo Counties Mayors and Councilmembers Association meeting in Winslow on Monday, October 17, 2011. Those present discussed communicating more directly with our legislatures. Councilor Gary Finch presented a PowerPoint on Apache-Sitgreaves Forest Service closures using steel gates. Also discussed the legislative and congressional redistricting.

B. COUNCIL

Councilor Gary Finch attended the Apache County Board of Supervisors meeting today and a class of 45-50 children from the reservation recited the Pledge of Allegiance in English and then again in Navajo; very inspiring.

Councilor Byron Smith attended the White Mountain Regional Transportation Committee meeting last Thursday, October 13, 2011. The Committee is concerned that about its financial report and status, and whether or not the work of the Committee is worth the amount of investment in it. Councilor Smith's opinion is it is worth the amount of money that the Town pays. The Committee vetoed/forgoes collecting fees for GK & J Services due to a

balance shown, which will carry through May when it comes due again; the services received are a bargain and a good investment. The Committee is asking communities to consider a resolution urging the legislature to discontinue sweeps of Highway User Revenue Funds (HURF) and is asking for a rush as Senator Sylvia Allen will be at the November 10th meeting where the discussion of HURF sweeps is on the agenda.

C. STAFF

Town Manager Bill Greenwood stated that in the papers that Councilman Smith handed out is the sample resolution recommended by the White Mountain Regional Transportation Committee that was received after the packets were put together. The Transportation Board wants to meet on November 10, 2011. The resolution asks the legislature to discontinue the sweep of HURF the chart shows the revenues taken over the years in the various communities. Eagar has suffered the least, but has lost over \$100,000 the past four years. The resolution was originally written for Navajo County and has a lot of Navajo County issues in it. The Town's would be similar stating that reductions of HURF impacts what can be done to town streets and roads including snow removal and safety issues. If the Council so directs, this resolution will be placed on an agenda for November 3, 2011 at the Council Retreat.

Councilor Byron Smith said HURF was reduced 14% before the sweeps were enacted. The formula for dividing HURF impacts the rural counties and cities unfavorably, however, some metropolitan counties and cities are reduced by approximately 30%. The reductions are making an obvious impact to the town's roads that can clearly be seen. The biggest contributor to the imbalance formula is population and fuel costs; populations are decreasing in the rural areas. Even though Eagar is affected less at 11% than the rest of the State at 14%, Eagar belongs to this consortium and should support our neighbors. Mayor Kim Holaway directed staff to place the resolution on the next agenda. Councilor Gary Finch added that the retreat on Thursday, November 3rd at 4:00 p.m. is a meeting open to the public.

Fire Department Chief Howard Carlson invited the Mayor and Council to hand out candy and hotdogs at the HalloWeenie Spooktacular on Monday, October 31, 2011 starting at 5:00 p.m. at the Bashas` parking lot.

ITEM #6: OPEN CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Springerville-Eagar Regional Chamber of Commerce Director Becki Christensen reported:

- Chamber Banquet is this Saturday, October 22, 2011 and the Town of Eagar will be receiving a Beautification Award.
- The White Mountain's Chambers of Commerce, of which there are six, are selling gift certificates at any Chamber that can be spent at over 800 businesses on the mountain.
- National Bank of Arizona has given the Chamber \$20,000 to disperse to the community. National's customers are community businesses that lost business and revenue during the Wallow Fire evacuation. What the businesses normally would have earned to carry them through the year was stifled and this is National's way of helping out the community for

that loss. When buying Christmas gifts in Springerville, Eagar, Greer, Alpine and Nutrioso bring the receipts to the Chamber receive a check for 20% of what was spent. There is a \$1,000 maximum for any one purchase and does not include groceries or gas. The retailer/business must be a member of the Chamber.

- The Christmas Festival is scheduled for December 1st through the 18th, and the Lighted Christmas Walk is December 11th through the 18th.
- Tell the Chamber about your school, civic, and church special activities and the Chamber will help advertise the event.
- Veterans Parade is on November 10th starting at 10:00 a.m.
- 2012 White Mountain Winter Jamboree will be held February 17th –19th and the website will be published soon. There will be two concerts held one is a Saturday concert with country blues band “Firefly”.

ITEM #7: UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE THE USE OF EAST SCHOOL BUS ROAD (A DEDICATED TOWN ROAD) AS A PRIVATE DRIVEWAY

Councilor Tim Rasmussen declared a conflict of interest on this item and excused himself.

Citizen Michelle Craig stated that the land split and access issues with her property have been ongoing for six and a half months. Ms. Craig is requesting that the Town allow her to use East School Bus Road as a private driveway as the Council has the ability to approve a primitive road as a driveway. The Town would not have any liability when signs are posted on access roads advising of a primitive road. Now hearing that the town codes are too strict. The group that was review the code, Vice-Mayor James Nelson, Jr., Councilor Gary Finch, Bruce Ray and Steve Christensen discussed this possibility. An ordinance that is going before the Planning and Zoning Commission (P & Z) does not really pertain to Ms. Craig asking for East School Bus Road to be used as a primitive road or driveway; this is a town-dedicated road and has been for 29 years. The land split has been approved and recorded.

Vice-Mayor Nelson stated this issue started with the Council attempting to make the town code friendlier for these types of land splits but has instead made it more difficult. The initial ordinance of a couple of months ago should have been reviewed by P & Z first, so a new ordinance is on the P & Z agenda for their review and recommendation. A memo from Town Manager Bill Greenwood states that Town Attorney Doug Brown and Community Development Administrator Bruce Ray have stated that if the Town allows a primitive road or private drive, a change in the code is required. The Council will await the results from P & Z. The Council is trying to prevent roads like we have now from happening in the future. The Town needs to protect all citizens and ensure that future land split and subdivision applications are fair to the whole community and the Town isn't liable to made improvements to roadways that service people's property. It is understood that East School Bus Road is a dedicated road and in the future it may be used as town road, but there are no plans for the near future to make those improvements. To bring it up to standards for the

town has no benefit right now. There are other roads that need attention and improvements, and financially to bring East School Bus Road up to standards for one individual does not make sense.

Michelle Craig stated that is not asking the Town to improve the road, she wants the Town to mark the road with signs and she will use it as a primitive road.

Vice-Mayor Nelson stated that if access to the property is important that 20 feet of Ms. Craig's property can be used for access as a private driveway instead of the Town's dedicated roadway, that would solve this problem today.

Councilor Gary Finch wanted to know when the land split was approved, "Did anyone say anything about the road to you?" Ms. Craig said "The stipulation on my land split was that I would not be able to build on my property until the road was maintained." Councilor Finch asked, "Do you have anything in writing that said what your requirements are, what you had to do before you could do the land split and build on your property?" Ms. Craig said she didn't think so. Councilor Finch stated that it appears to him that the Town made a mistake by not providing requirements for a road at the time she applied for the land split. Is it within the Town's jurisdiction, although it is not in town code, to allow Ms. Craig to put two signs up posting a primitive drive because the land split has been approved? No new land splits will be allowed hereafter unless the road is brought up to standard. Community Development Administrator Bruce Ray stated a letter was sent to Mr. Rasmussen at the time the land split was approved concerning building permits, and that the land split was approved on the condition that no building permits would be issued until the road met the standards.

Councilor Steve Erhart was concerned that with the approved land split, Mr. Rasmussen can sell a piece of that property to someone else and he can legally do with the approval of the land split. Does the Town have the legal right to refuse someone else from building on that property?

Councilor Finch stated if the Town makes the allowance for the road don't we also have the right to make an agreement with them that they will not sell the property unless whoever they sell it to brings it up to standard.

Town Manager Bill Greenwood replied that state statute allows that. The Council can do that if town code doesn't have a code that is more restrictive. However, the town code is more restrictive stating that land splits have to be on a city maintained street or road. That town code will have to be changed in order to use the state statute. P & Z is willing to discuss a code change that allows the Town under certain circumstances to allow primitive road designations. Another issue is that one of the lots from this land split is for sale and is on an accepted road, the Town has allowed the sale of that lot but the other piece that doesn't have access won't be issued a building permit until the road is brought up to standard. We thought we were doing them a favor by approving the land split with the condition that the second piece couldn't be built on, and that requirement should carry over to the next owner.

Community Development Administrator Bruce Ray said there are two options going before the P & Z: One is for a primitive road with the All-Weather Road Standards, as stated in town code currently, this is the same as what a sub-divider would abide by without paving, curbing or sidewalk.

Councilor Gary Finch said the mistake made was approving the land split before the road was improved. The Town signed off on a subdivision by allowing the land split and approval to build on one of the parcels. The mistake needs to be correct and ensured that the mistake doesn't happen again. The Town can provide a waiver that allows Ms. Craig to post signs on the road and to use the road as a primitive access to her private property, this doesn't mean that it is to be allowed throughout the whole town too, if that is what is decided.

Community Development Administrator Bruce Ray stated that action would be in violation of town code.

Councilor Gary Finch made a recommendation to P & Z to allow Ms. Craig her to post two signs on the road and use it as a primitive access to her private property. Mr. Ray as Planning Director makes his recommendation for that also.

Mayor Kim Holaway and the Council determined that a regular council meeting on November 1, 2011 is necessary, and to hold a pre-retreat meeting November 3rd. The first reading of the ordinance could be heard on November 1st and the second reading on November 15, 2011. An emergency clause could be included in the ordinance making the ordinance effective November 16, 2011. Ms. Craig said she would pay for the signs if it were approved. Mr. Ray will e-mail council with the results of the P & Z decision.

ITEM #8: NEW BUSINESS

A. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION TO ACCEPT THE NORTHEAST ARIZONA SUSTAINABILITY CONSORTIUM AGREEMENT

Town Manager Bill Greenwood stated that in the spring the Council approved the Steering Committee to move forward with a plan for the Town of Eagar, these are the strategies the Committee selected to work on: Energy, value added forest products, local tourism, health, pass through tourism, business retention and education. These seven strategies had the most importance and bearing on economic development for Eagar. In reality the Consortium Agreement and Contract, which is the next agenda item, should have been completed before the process started, there were some revisions ongoing that delayed that and the Committee wanted to move ahead. There is a lot of concern about Agenda 21 and items of the United Nations trying to push onto communities. The strategies are the items selected to work on and this completed draft plan will need Council approval. In the Consortium Agreement it states that each member of the Consortium will look at housing, open space, transportation and those items. The Consortium has done that and agreed that there was not a need for the Town of Eagar to address those specifically. Mr. Greenwood suggests the Council move forward and approve this agreement based on the language: "In the review and acceptance of

deliverables, the federal government may not require any change in the Consortium's stated views, opinions, or conclusions." The federal government can't change decisions of the Consortium.

Councilor Steve Erhart said he had a problem with that same line saying the federal government 'may not require' instead of saying 'cannot require'. There is a big difference between may and can. The very things that the Steering Committee had talked about in the spring, is not what is in the agreement. Councilor Erhart has concerns about the office of sustainability, housing and communities' livability principles and if in fact they are the same as the Housing and Urban Development livability principles and the federal livability principles. The agreement states three times that we agree and support those principles when in fact the only ones listed are the Office of Sustainable Housing and Communities. The agreement states, "These Contracts are entered into in support of multi-jurisdictional planning efforts which integrate housing, land use, economic and workforce development, transportation and infrastructure investments in a manner which empower the Region to address the interdependent challenges and balance of economic competitiveness and revitalization, social equity, inclusion, and access to opportunity, land use, energy use and climate change; public health and environmental impact." Councilor Erhart had understood that social equity would not be part of this grant. The Town does not need to get involved in social equity or social engineering. The way the agreement is written, Councilor Erhart stated he cannot support it at all he didn't agree to this in the spring. The agreement needs to be severely modified before he would agree to it.

Councilor Gary Finch said that government at every level has been permeated by people who believe that government control of society creates better living conditions for society and can be created by individual freedom and free markets. The opposite is true of course as is evidenced by the first 200 years of prosperity in America. In these first 200 years free people in free America created a free market which surpassed every other economy and government in the world. Virtually all of the other governments operated under some form of government-controlled society rather than by society in control of government. The American system based on natural law produces winners and losers. Some people fair well, some do quite well, some struggle, and others fail. Throughout nature every living species exists within this natural law. Survival of the fittest is not an empty slogan. It is a fundamental law of nature that cannot be repealed despite the desires of people who believe it to be unfair. People have tried to repeal this law for centuries, but every effort has ended in disaster. Sustainable development is the most recent effort to repeal this law. Remember one of these three foundation principles of sustainable development is social equity. This is the same philosophy or worldview that Karl Marx expressed in his famous statement "*For each according to his ability to each according to his needs.*" Government intervention in the welfare of people is false philanthropy. The government has no right to take my money or your money and give it to someone else because they feel sorry for them. That is a personal issue and a personal right to be a philanthropist or to be a scrooge; it is something the government doesn't have the right to decide.

Vice-Mayor James Nelson, Jr. did not agree with everything in the agreement either and stated that it needs to be rewritten. However, he did sit on the Steering Committee with

people from all different facets of the community and discussed what the community has to offer and how can the community benefit from economically, what do these communities have that is unique to the area and region of Southern Apache and Navajo Counties. The Steering Committee talked about what can be done to better the community. It is a shame that it took this grant to get community members together, it should have been done on our own. To read into it that the Town of Eagar is entering into a Marxist society that we want to change anything by the Steering Committee is not true. The Committee discussed what could be offered in the way of energy, the forest now has a lot more usable material to use as biomass, which is good energy. The forest has logs and products that could be used if it were allowed. Local tourism is a huge benefit. The function of the Steering Committee wasn't to promote any form of social movement it was to provide what is the best thing that the Town of Eagar can do for the Town of Eagar.

Councilor Tim Rasmussen said there was a clause in the agreement that allows for profit entities to be participants which is not agreeable. He stated that the contract does not pertain to what the Town agreed too. Councilor Rasmussen questioned does not agree with entering into an agreement to work with Building Communities Inc.

Terms of agreement commenced on or about January 1, 2011.

Mayor Kim Holaway stated that all of the participating entities have this same contract and asked if it is possible to customize the contract to what is wanted. If Eagar has no intention to deal with housing, open space, social equity, or climate change then those items could be left out of the contract. Stick to the strategies that the Steering Committee talked about which are: energy, value added forestry, local tourism, health, pass through tourism, business retention, and education. Town Manager Bill Greenwood said the contract requires that the Committee at least discuss those items and they did.

Councilor Tim Rasmussen wanted to know what percent of the \$820,500 is administration costs and what would go to the goals that were set.

Town Manager Bill Greenwood said that the \$820, 500 was based on all the entities and some of those have pulled out and that amount will be adjusted to \$15,000 to each community that participates, the money has not yet been received. The biggest chunk is with Building Communities, Inc. and Economic Development Apache County (EDAC) receives a portion for administering the grant.

Councilor Steve Erhart read in the contract "The Consortium will likely not be able to fund all of the Region's proposed projects, so no Consortium member is guaranteed that these future Regional proposals will be funded." Some projects are to be funded but how is that determined.

Mr. Greenwood stated by participating in the planning process, this gives an advantage over entities that have not gone through the planning process for funding from HUD, EDAC or other government administration. The Committee was never guaranteed funding for any of the projects discussed.

Mayor Kim Holaway said the wording is misleading leaving question as to whether entities compete through a bidding process.

Councilor Gary Finch said in all the years he has been on the Council the Town has received state grants and federal grants that require contracts but never a consortium. This is the community's program and the community should be able to concentrate on those strategies that benefit the community best. By agreeing to a contract the participants are at the mercy of that contract.

Town Manager Bill Greenwood said there are two parts to this. One is the individual entity plan; Mr. Kohl takes any common elements and recommends as a region or as a sub-region those projects. A prime example is health care if Springerville comes on board that is a project that could be worked on by the Consortium.

Councilor Gary Finch said then lets start a local White Mountain Consortium that allows local communities to work together without federal strings attached.

Town Manager Bill Greenwood stated that the agreement can be rewritten and then this Consortium that consists of Eagar, Springerville, Hopi Tribe, and the Apache Tribe will be the entities working on the plans. The concept behind the Consortium is good, the agreement can be changed getting rid of the threatening language and keeping the process going.

Discussion regarding the Consortium Contract also included:

- Social equity – Will taxes be raised to provide housing at a sub-prime mortgage rate to those who cannot qualify for housing. That didn't work when the federal government forced the banks to provide loans to people who couldn't afford them and in the end they lost their houses, paid for by taxpayers.
- We need a plan for the future. No problem with the Steering Committee's topics. Keep the Steering Committee. Push the Steering Committee forward as it has a good cross section of people from the community with good ideas.
- Rewrite the agreement so it is more agreeable to our beliefs then maybe we enter into it at some point in the future, but not now. To many negative requirements by the federal government.
- We can afford as a community to build a small biomass plant that utilizes a local resource to put out on the grid.
- Concerned about social equity and shifting taxpayer's money over to somebody else because they are not willing to put forth the effort to be successful.

Mayor Kim Holaway turned the discussion over to the public for their comments:

Barry Weller, citizen of Hunt: With the wording the contract would have to be modified tremendously. Work together and leave the federal government out of it.

Bobbi Peterson, President of the White Mountain Conservatives: The government is broke. Hoping as we elect better congressmen that we will get out of the UN and quit funding it. The UN is against families. How we can assume that if the money is allocated that it will be here after this year. Why would we want to take this money when it needs to be applied to bring down national debt? Wonderful that we are working with other communities and can do a lot together, without the federal government strings attached.

Sam Pane citizen of Pinetop: Can show through UN sources, International Council for Global Environmental sources, Dept. of Housing and Urban Development sources a direct link where the livability principles and etc. and social equity and etc. is a UN concept and doctrine that can be proven. The Steering Committee stuff is great, however that is not what is in the contract. If there is a deception then where else is there a deception. There is an UN document and within it the advisor to the President to the Office of Sustainability states that in the United States, it can't be called Agenda 21 because people will object to it so give it a different name. This is a deception at the highest level. Federal involvement in local economy the last 40 years is why we are in this mess now. The EPA destroyed logging. The conditions for the Rodeo-Chediski and Wallow Fires were created by federal government policy. Do we really want to invite them in; they don't have a very good track record when we do.

Citizen of Eagar Chris Coddington: Sustainability does not exist in the English language but sustain means helping a person get to a final result; it is also the ability to do a job. This is not sustainability it is Agenda 21. Sustainable development the objective is to integrate economic, social and environmental policies in order to achieve reduced consumption, social equity and the preservation and restoration of bio-diversity. Social equity needs to be changed to social injustice. It is not society that gives us the resources that we have it is our own hard work to gain these things. Redistribution of wealth and private property is a social injustice. One of the goals of Agenda 21 is to abolish private property. National sovereignty is a social injustice. Universal health care is a social injustice and they would solve our problems with health care in this nation if people that are not productive will not receive any medical care. Economic prosperity, public private partnerships are special dealings between the governments and certain chosen corporations that get tax credits, grants and the government's power of eminent domain. Local sustainability projects: smart growth, wildland projects, resilient cities, regional development projects, green jobs, green building codes, going green, alternative energy, local visioning help the environment and that is good.

Citizen of Eagar, Jim Finch Jr.: Steering Committee-agrees with the seven items we need to work on. At first all towns in Navajo and Apache Counties were on board; all of Navajo County pulled out. When Apache County was asked if they received \$820,500, Mr. Wengert said yes and EDAC controls it and is the administrator. Apache County accepted this grant because it was free money with no strings attached, they didn't sign a contract and if there were a contract they would bail. Would like to know why we are trying to get a contract signed tonight and back date it to January.

Vice-Mayor James Nelson said he would like to table item 8. A. & 8. B. tonight.

Citizen of Eagar Andy Solonic: Would like the Council tonight to reject or accept this agreement and to take the recommendations of the Steering Committee and use them in the future to better this city.

Karen MacKean from Show Low with the White Mountain Conservatives: Have been following the Consortium since February 28 and requested documentation from EDAC and the Town of St. Johns since then. Just recently they submitted Brian Cole's contract to the website. Mr. Cole is being paid \$270,000; he normally charges \$650,000. Asked Hank Rogers for administrative costs and he refused to tell me. Mr. Roger's company (EDAC) is a government organization a 501C3, so he refuses to tell us. This is taxpayer money this process should be transparent. Why are they holding all of this information from the taxpayers of Navajo and Apache Counties? Not only does the government not have any money, these people won't tell us how they are spending it. The request went to the county and to HUD and they still didn't release any information.

Citizen of Eagar Karalea Cox and Steering Committee member: Whatever the Council decides tonight she would like to point out that the document is confusing as to the role of the Steering Committee. It appears that the Steering Committee has responsibilities where it talks about reporting to the city council for updates, suggestions, and identifying specific needs. If that is the role of the Council or the role of the contractor then that should be clear or if the contractor is going to defer to the Steering Committee to determine these things. If these items are tabled the agreement changed, the Steering Committee would like to see it first. We have worked hard and put forth a lot of effort and would like to think that you value the committee's work enough that we see the rewritten contract before it is considered again.

Councilor Gary Finch moved to reject the Northeast Arizona Sustainability Consortium Agreement and continue with the Steering Committee on our own. Councilor Steve Erhart seconded; five were in favor, Councilor Byron Smith was opposed, motion carried. 5-1

B. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION TO ENTER INTO A TECHNICAL SERVICES CONTRACT BETWEEN TOWN OF EAGAR AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT APACHE COUNTY (EDAC) AS IT PERTAINS TO A DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD) SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES REGIONAL PLANNING GRANT

This item is related to the previous item #8.A. Council will not continue with this item, as the agreement was not approved.

C. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 2011-32 AUTHORIZING FUNDS FROM APACHE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL TO BE USED TO DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT FLOOD CONTROL MEASURES IN THE VICINITY OF WATER CANYON BETWEEN 10TH STREET AND SCHOOL BUS ROAD; AND ALLOWING THE TOWN OF EAGAR TO COMMIT TO AN IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION AND LONG-TERM MAINTENANCE OF THE FLOOD CONTROL SYSTEM

Vice-Mayor James Nelson moved to approve Resolution 2011-32 authorizing funds from Apache County Flood Control to be used to design and construct flood control measures in the vicinity of Water Canyon between 10th Street and School Bus Road; and allowing the Town of Eagar to commit to an in-kind contribution and long-term maintenance of the flood control system. Councilor Steve Erhart seconded; all were in favor, motion carried unanimously. 6-0

D. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 2011-33 URGING THE ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION TO KEEP RURAL ARIZONA TOGETHER BY CONSIDERING AND ADOPTING THE PROPOSED DISTRICT MAPS AS PROVIDED

Friday, October 21, 2011 at 6:00 p.m. a redistricting meeting will be held in Globe. A member of the Council is to attend. Councilor Steve Erhart said he would go to represent the Town. Vice-Mayor Nelson also agreed to attend. Mayor Kim Holaway said that the Apache and Navajo Counties Mayors & Councilmember's Association is sending a letter in support of the map recommended by Eastern Rural Arizona Consortium.

Vice-Mayor James Nelson moved to approve Resolution 2011-33 urging the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission to keep rural Arizona together by considering and adopting the proposed Eastern Rural Congressional and Legislature District Map Option One Version 8A as provided. Councilor Steve Erhart seconded; all were in favor, motion carried unanimously. 6-0

ITEM #10: ADJOURNMENT

Councilor Gary Finch moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:07 p.m. Councilor Steve Erhart seconded; all were in favor, motion carried unanimously. 6-0

Attest: _____

Mayor: _____

Vice-Mayor: _____

Council: _____

Eagar Council Regular Session
October 18, 2011

CERTIFICATION OF COUNCIL MINUTES

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are true and correct copy of the minutes of the Regular session of the Town of Eagar, Arizona held on October 18, 2011. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

Marion Wiltbank, Assistant Town Clerk